

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

TONING T	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/990,252	11/21/2001	Leonid B. Galperin	106172	2148
	on 06/27/2005		EXAM	INER
JOHN G TOLOMEI, PATENT DEPARTMENT			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN	
UOP LLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
25 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD P O BOX 5017			1764	
DES PLAINES	, IL 60017-5017		DATE MAILED: 06/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
Office Action Summany	09/990,252		GALPERIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Walter D. Griffin	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 h	<u>1ay 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-7 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have been out (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTo- 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/990,252

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The rejections described in the paper mailed on December 10, 2004 have been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on May 10, 2005. The prior art of record does not disclose the regeneration of zeolite L at the claimed temperatures. The arguments concerning the prior rejections are most and will not be addressed.

A new rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 3-224633.

The JP reference discloses a process for regenerating a zeolite L catalyst by contacting the catalyst with ozone in a liquid phase. No halogen compound needs to be present. The process would necessarily result in the removal of coke from the catalyst. See the abstract.

It is not clear if the JP reference discloses the claimed temperatures or space velocities.

The reference also does not disclose the partial pressure or concentration of ozone and does not disclose that the catalyst contains a metal.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of the JP reference by utilizing the claimed temperatures because the use of such temperatures would result in the presence of the required liquid phase. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the claimed space velocities because one would adjust conditions in order to provide for the most effective regeneration.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a partial pressure or concentration as claimed because one would adjust ozone amounts to provide for the desired regeneration.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of the JP reference by regenerating a metal-

Art Unit: 1764

containing catalyst because one would expect the regeneration to proceed regardless of the presence of a metal in the catalyst.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Walt D. Duff. Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1764

WG June 22, 2005